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Let a rigid body with a fixed point be in a potential force field defined by a function $U=U\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)$, which, for simplicity, we shall assume to be holomorphic over the set of all values that may be later required, of the variables $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$. Then, the equations of motion of the body

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A \frac{d p}{d t}=(B-C) q r+\gamma_{3} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \gamma_{2}}-\gamma_{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \gamma_{3}}, & \frac{d \gamma_{1}}{d t}=r \gamma_{2}-q \gamma_{3} \\
B \frac{d q}{d t}=(C-A) r_{p}+\gamma_{1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \gamma_{3}}-\gamma_{3} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \gamma_{1}}, & \frac{d \gamma_{2}}{d t}=p \gamma_{3}-r \gamma_{1}  \tag{1}\\
C \frac{d r}{d t}=(A-B) p_{q}+\gamma_{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \gamma_{1}}-\gamma_{1} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \gamma_{2}}, & \frac{d \gamma_{3}}{d t}=q \gamma_{1}-p \gamma_{2}
\end{array}
$$

admit the following first integrals

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 H=A p^{2}+B q^{2}+C r^{2}-2 U\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)=\text { const } \\
V_{1}=A p \gamma_{1}+B q \gamma_{2}+C r \gamma_{3}=\mathrm{const}, \quad V_{2}=\gamma_{1}^{2}+\gamma_{2}^{2}+\gamma_{3}^{2}=1 \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $A, B$ and $C$ are moments of inertia with respect to the principal axes of the body, the origin of these axes coinciding with the fixed point; $p, q$ and $r$ are the projections of the angular velocity on these axes and $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$ are cosines of the angles made between the principal axes of the body and an axis which we shall call 'vertical', and which is fixed in space.

To investigate the stability of steady motions of the body we shall use, as in [3], the Routh - Liapunov theorem [4]. Let us use the integrals (2) to construct the Lagrange function

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=H-\lambda_{1} V_{1}-1_{2} \lambda_{2} I_{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are constant multipliers and let us write the necessary conditions for the extrema of $K$ in terms of the variables $p, q, r, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$

$$
\frac{\partial K}{\partial p}=\frac{\partial K}{\partial q}=\frac{\partial K}{\partial r}=\frac{\partial K}{\partial \gamma_{i}}=0 \quad(i=1,2,3)
$$

which, after obvious transformations, assume the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
p=\lambda_{1} \gamma_{1}, \quad q=\lambda_{1} \gamma_{2}, \quad r=\lambda_{1} \gamma_{3}  \tag{4}\\
\left(\lambda_{1}{ }^{2} A+\lambda_{2}\right) \gamma_{1}+\partial U / \partial \gamma_{1}=0, \quad\left(\lambda_{1}{ }^{2} B+\lambda_{2}\right) \gamma_{2}+\partial U / \partial \gamma_{2}=0, \\
\left(\lambda_{1}{ }^{2} C+\lambda_{2}\right) \gamma_{3}+\partial U / \partial \gamma_{3}=0 \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (4) it follows, that the vertical fixed in space can be the only permanent axis and that $\lambda_{1}=\omega$ will be the angular velocity of the body about this axis.

Equations (5) define, generally speaking, $\lambda_{1}=\omega$ together with $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$ which define the position of the permanent axis in the hody for every value of $\lambda_{1}$, with the relation $\gamma_{1}{ }^{2}+\gamma_{2}{ }^{2}+\gamma_{s}{ }^{2}=1$ taken into acconnt.

Eliminating $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ from (5), we obtain a surface analogons to the Stande's cone [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial U}{\partial \gamma_{1}}(B-C) \Upsilon_{2} \gamma_{3}+\frac{\partial U}{\partial \gamma_{2}}(C-A) \Upsilon_{3} \gamma_{1}+\frac{\partial U}{\partial \gamma_{3}}(A-B) \Upsilon_{1} \gamma_{2}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, together with the condition $\gamma_{1}{ }^{2}+\gamma_{2}{ }^{2}+\gamma_{3}{ }^{2}=1$, will define the set of all permanent axes in the body.

To investigate the stability of obtained motions, let us consider the condition of sign definiteness of the second variation of $K$ on the linearised manifold defined by the integrals $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. We shall assume that $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$, and $\eta_{3}$ are the perturbations of $p, q_{,}, r$, $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \delta^{2} K=A \xi_{1}^{2}+B \xi_{2}^{2}+C \xi_{3}^{2}-2 \omega\left(A \xi_{1} \eta_{1}+B \xi_{2} \eta_{2}+C \xi_{3} \eta_{3}\right)-\lambda_{2}\left(\eta_{1}^{2}+\eta_{2}^{2}+\eta_{3}^{2}\right)-(7) \\
-u_{11} \eta_{1}^{2}-u_{22} \eta_{2}^{2}-u_{33} \eta_{3}^{2}-2 u_{13} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}-2 u_{13} \eta_{1} \eta_{3}-2 u_{23} \eta_{2} \eta_{3} \\
\delta V_{1}=A p \eta_{1}+B \eta_{2}+C \eta_{3}+A \gamma_{1} \xi_{1}+B \gamma_{3} \xi_{2}+C \gamma_{3} \xi_{3}+\ldots=0  \tag{8}\\
\delta V_{2}=\gamma_{1} \eta_{1}+\gamma_{2} \eta_{2}+\gamma_{3} \eta_{3}+\ldots=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Here and in the following, we shall use the notation

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial \Upsilon_{i}}=u_{i}, \quad \frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial \Upsilon_{i} \partial \gamma_{j}}=u_{i j} \quad(i, i=1,2,3)
$$

Following [3], let ns replace $\xi_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ with $x_{i}=\xi_{i}-\omega \eta_{i} \quad$. Them, (7) and (8) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \delta^{2} K=A x_{1}^{2}+B x_{2}+C x_{3}^{2}-\left(A \omega^{2}+\lambda_{2}+u_{11}\right) \eta_{1}^{2}-\left(B \omega^{2}+\lambda_{2}+u_{28}\right) \eta_{2}^{2}-  \tag{9}\\
-\left(C \omega^{2}+\lambda_{2}+u_{33}\right) \eta_{3}^{2}-2 u_{12} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}-2 u_{13} \eta_{1} \eta_{3}-2 u_{23} \eta_{2} \eta_{3} \\
\delta V_{1}=A \gamma_{1} x_{1}+B \gamma_{2}+x_{2}+C \gamma_{8} x_{3}+2 A \eta_{1}+2 B \eta \eta_{2}+2 C \eta_{3}+\ldots=0  \tag{10}\\
\delta V_{2}=\gamma_{1} \eta_{1}+\gamma_{2} \eta_{2}+\gamma_{3} \eta_{3}+\ldots=0
\end{gather*}
$$

The positiveness of the determinant

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccccccc}
-A^{*} & -u_{12} & -u_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 A p & \gamma_{1} \\
-u_{12} & -B^{*} & -u_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 B q & \gamma_{2} \\
-u_{13} & -u_{23} & -C^{*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 C r & \gamma_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A & 0 & 0 & A \gamma_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B & 0 & B_{\gamma_{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C & C \gamma_{3} & 0 \\
2.1 p & 2 B q & 2 C r & A \gamma_{1} & B \gamma_{2} & C \gamma_{3} & 0 & 0 \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right|
$$

and of another three determinants obtained from it by striking out the sixth row and column, fifth and sixth row and column and finally the fourth, fifth and sixth row and column, are the conditions of sign definiteness of the quadratic form (9) on the linear manifold (10), according to well known generalisation of Silvester criterion [5 and 3]. In the above determinant, we have used for brevity

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{*}=A \omega^{2}+\lambda_{2}+u_{11}, \quad B^{*}=B \omega^{2}+\lambda_{2}+u_{22}, \quad C^{*}=C \omega^{2}+\lambda_{2}+u_{33} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can easily be checked, that the above conditions have the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 \omega^{2} T_{1}>0, \quad A\left(4 \omega^{2} T_{1}-A \gamma_{1}^{2} S_{1}\right)>0 \\
A B\left(4 \omega^{2} T_{1}-\left(A \gamma_{1}^{2}+B \gamma_{2}^{2}\right) S_{1}\right)>0  \tag{12}\\
A B C\left(4 \omega^{2} T_{1}-J S_{1}\right)>0 \quad\left(J=A{\left.\gamma_{1}^{2}+B{\gamma_{2}^{2}}^{2}+C \gamma_{3}^{2}\right)}^{2} \quad\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

where $J$ is the moment of inertia of the body relative to the permanent axis.

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{1}=-\left[A^{*}(B-C)^{2} \gamma_{3}^{2} \gamma_{2}^{2}+B^{*}(C-A)^{2} \gamma_{1}^{2} \gamma_{3}^{2}+C^{*}(A-B)^{2} \gamma_{2}^{2} \gamma_{1}^{2}\right] \\
-2 \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}\left[(A-C)(B-A) u_{23} \gamma_{1}+(B-A)(C-B) u_{13} \gamma_{2}+(C-B)(A-C) u_{12} \gamma_{3}\right] \\
S_{1}=\left[\left(u_{23}{ }^{2}-B^{*} C^{*}\right) \gamma_{1}^{2}+\left(u_{13}^{2}-C^{*} A^{*}\right) \gamma_{2}^{2}+\left(u_{12}^{2}-A^{*} B^{*}\right) \gamma_{3}^{2}\right]-  \tag{13}\\
-2 \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}\left(u_{12} u_{13}-u_{23} A^{*}\right)-2 \gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}\left(u_{23} u_{12}-u_{13} B^{*}\right)-2 \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\left(u_{13} u_{23}-u_{12} C^{*}\right) \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Obviously, whenever the first and last condition of (12) is fulfilled, the second and third must also be. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}>0, \quad 4 \omega^{2} T_{1}-J S_{1}>0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

will represent the conditions of positive definiteness of the second variation of $K$ on the linearised manifold defined by the integrals $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. By the Routh-Liapunov theorem [4], they will also be sufficient conditions of stability of discussed motions in the variables $p, q, r, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{3}$. The last condition of ( 15 ) becomes, on exclusion of the boundary $a_{2}=0$, the necessary condition, since the characteristic equation for the variational equations will, in this case, be

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{2}\left(x^{4} a_{0}+x^{2} a_{1}+a_{2}\right)=0 \quad\left(a_{0}=A B C\right) \\
a_{1}=\left[A(A-B-C)^{2} \gamma_{1}^{2}+B(B-C-A)^{2} \gamma_{2}^{2}+C(C-A-B)^{2} \gamma_{3}^{2}\right] \omega^{2}- \\
-\left[A A^{*}\left(B \gamma_{2}^{2}+C \gamma_{2}^{2}\right)+B B^{*}\left(A \gamma_{1}^{2}+C \gamma_{3}^{2}\right)+C C^{*}\left(B{\gamma_{2}}^{2}+A{\gamma_{1}^{2}}^{2}\right)\right]+ \\
+2 A B u_{12} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}+2 B C u_{32} \gamma_{3} \gamma_{2}+2 C A u_{13} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{3} \\
a_{2}=40^{2} T_{1}-I S_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

If the force function is given in the form

$$
u=x_{0} \gamma_{1}+y_{6} \gamma_{2}+z_{0} \gamma_{3}+\mu\left(A \gamma_{1}^{2}+B \gamma_{2}^{2}+C \gamma_{3}^{2}\right)
$$

then conditions (15) coincide with the conditions obtained by Kuz'min [3] for the stability of permanent rotations in the central gravity field. For the force function which can be given as

$$
u=f_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)+f_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)+f_{3}\left(\gamma_{3}\right)
$$

sufficient conditions of stability can be obtained from (15) after the substitution $u_{i j}=0$, $i \neq j,(i, j=1,2,3)$, but they will be weaker than those obtained by Anchev in [2], for $u$ as defined above. For the force field with the Goriachev function [6 and 7]

$$
U\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{s}\right)=\frac{a}{n-1} \gamma_{1}^{1-n}+\frac{1}{2} \delta\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}-\gamma_{1}^{2}\right)-c_{1} \gamma_{1}{ }^{m-n} c_{2} \gamma_{2}
$$

direction cosines $y_{1}, y_{2}$ and $y_{3}$ of pernaneat axes will be, sccording to (5), given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(A \omega^{2}+\lambda_{2}\right) \gamma_{1}-b \gamma_{1}-c_{1}=0, \quad\left(B \omega^{2}+\lambda_{2}\right) \gamma_{2}+b \gamma_{2}-c_{2}=0  \tag{16}\\
\left(C \omega^{2}+\lambda_{2}\right) \gamma_{3}-a \gamma_{3}^{-n}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

where
$u_{1}=-b \gamma_{1}-c_{1}, u_{2}=b \gamma_{2}-c_{2}, \quad u_{3}=-a \gamma_{3}^{-n}, \quad u_{11}=\cdots b_{1} \quad u_{22}=b_{1} \quad u_{33} \cdots a n y_{8}^{-n-1}$
Consequently, expressions for $T_{1}$ and $S_{1}$ will, after aliminating the parameter $\lambda_{2}$ by means ol (16), become

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{y}=-\left[(B-A)^{2} \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2} \gamma_{2}^{2}}{\gamma_{3}^{n+1}} a(n+1)+\left(C-A \gamma^{2} \frac{c_{2} \gamma_{1}^{2} \gamma_{3}^{2}}{\gamma_{2}}+(C-B)^{2} \frac{c_{1} \gamma_{2}^{2} \gamma_{3}^{2}}{\gamma_{1}}\right]\right. \\
S_{1}=-\left[\frac{a(n+1)}{\gamma_{3}^{n+1}} \frac{c_{2} \gamma_{1}^{2}}{\gamma^{2}}+\frac{c_{1} \gamma_{2}^{2}}{\gamma_{2}}+\frac{c_{1} c_{2} \gamma_{3}^{2}}{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

From this it follows, that anficient conditions of stability of pemanent rotations deseribed by (16), can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
(B-A)^{2} a(n+1) \gamma_{1}^{2} \gamma_{2}^{2} \gamma_{3}^{-(n+1)}+(C-A)^{2} c_{3} \gamma_{1}^{2} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \gamma_{3}^{2}+(C-B)^{2} c_{1} \gamma_{1}{ }^{-1} \gamma_{2}^{2} \gamma_{3}^{2}<0  \tag{17}\\
40^{2}\left[(B-A)^{2} a(n+1) \gamma_{1}^{2} \gamma_{2}^{2} \gamma^{-(n+1)}+(C-A)_{3}^{2} c_{2} \gamma_{1}^{2} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \gamma_{3}^{2}+(C-B)^{2} c_{1} \gamma_{1}^{1}{ }^{1} \gamma_{2}^{2} \gamma_{3}\right]+ \\
+1\left[a(n+1) \gamma_{3}^{-(n+1)}\left(c_{1} \gamma_{2}^{2} \gamma_{1}^{-1}+c_{2} \gamma_{1}^{2} \gamma_{2}^{-1}\right]+c_{1} c_{2} \gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \gamma_{3}^{2}\right]<0
\end{gather*}
$$

For the case $A=B=2 G$ investigated in [7], the above conditions simplify to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{2} \gamma_{2}-3+c_{9} \gamma_{2}^{-3}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

but will be weaker than those obtained by Apykhtin in [7].
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